IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.385 OF 2006

IN

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2617 OF 2005

IN

S.C. SUIT NO.2193 OF 2004

Smt.Charlotte Stanley Furtado & Anr....Appellant

Versus

The Chief Executive Officer & Anr. ...Respondents

.....

Ms.Rohini Dandekar & Ms.Rashmi Vaity i/b
M/s.Berarwalla & Co. for Appellant.
Mr.G.D.Utangale for Respondent No.1.
Mr.Vijay Pandey i/b Mr.Vijendra S.Jabra for
Respondents 2, 3 and 4.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

JULY 26, 2006.

P.C.

1. Heard. I find no reason to depart from the conclusion reached by the lower Court particularly in view of Para 4 of the Judgement

under Appeal. The lower Court has rightly construed the earlier order to mean that the obligation of the opposite party was to pay amount up to expiry of first 11 months' period i.e. up to 24th May 2005. Hence, no interference is warranted. Dismissed.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.